



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/892,882	06/28/2001	Ji Chul Lim	8733.449.00	8733.449.00 3035		
30827	7590 05/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			LANEAU,	LANEAU, RONALD		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	- , -		2674	9		
		DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Control Control Control Control Control Control Con		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
### Ronald Laneau 2674 ### The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. #### PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) #### The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. Not Y CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for The FINAL REJECTION. See MPED Advisors of the period for the properties and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for The final rejection. See have been filed is the date for purpose of elementing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate advention is considered to the final rejection of the file of the final rejection of the file of the file of the final rejection of the file of the file of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may require any exherison therefore of CFR 1.191(a), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 3 TCFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be fi	Advisory Action	09/892,882	LIM ET AL.					
The REPLY FILED 26 April 2004. FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed office of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed office of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed office of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Application in Condition for all the Application (2) the first application in Condition for all the statutory period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the statutory period for reply of the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the file and the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the file and the statutory period for reply original set in the period of the final rejection. a) The period for expire and the	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b.) a) The period for reply expires 32 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires 32 months from the mailing date of the final rejection or event, however, will be saturably patied for reply expire start than 57 kMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or event, however, will be saturably patied for reply expire start than 57 kMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be saturably patied for reply expires and the final rejection or event, however, will be saturably patied for reply expire start from 57 kMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The proposed of the final rejection of the final rejection or the final forest from 57 kMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or 78 cm. 138(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in 3 above, if checked A, vip reply received by the Office states han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if immely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.132(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		Ronald Laneau	2674					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection on event, however, will the saturatory period for reply expire ster from 57 KACNTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONITS OF THE FIRMLA, REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension the nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, were filed to the state of the solution of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). On avoid dismissal of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). In avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. Image: The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. The all affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but do	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action. Or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE PRIST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TO A CONTROL of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.38(s) and the appropriate extension to the hower bears filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is ender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened astatutory period for reply originally and the appropriate extension is ender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final rejection, even if intelliging the intelligent of the period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if intelliging the intelliging the expiration of the expiration of the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) We they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they araise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the followi	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than StX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f). Retarisions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension less have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or 20 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR f.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) In they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3. The affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for al	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8.10-16.18 and 20-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8.10-16.18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1.9.17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner PICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8.10-16.18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1.9.17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8.10-16.18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1.9.17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER								
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8.10-16.18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
4.	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER								
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER								
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and an				
Claim(s) objected to: 2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-8,10-16,18 and 20-25</u> .							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,9,17 and 19</u> .		~ 1	1				
	8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	RICHARD HJE UPERVISORY PATEN	T EXAMINER				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 1,9,17, and 19 "to allow each pixel to have substantially a same parasitic capacitance" would at least require a further search.